THE HONORABLE FRED Van SICKLE 1 BRADLEY B. JONES, WSBA No. 17197 KENNETH G. KIEFFER, WSBA No. 10850 2 GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL 3 MALANCA PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma WA 98402 4 253-620-6500 5 6 JENIPHR A.E. BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No. 21410 TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 7 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 8 Seattle, WA 98101-2609 206-623-7292 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 11 In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES No. CV-04-025-FVS 12 LITIGATION 13 **CLASS ACTION** 14 **CLASS REPRESENTATIVES MOTION** FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 15 PARTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH ROTH ALL ACTIONS 16 CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC, 17 WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT 18 HEARING DATE: March 2, 2010 19 6:30 P.m. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC - 1 of 5 (Case No. CV04-0025-FVS) LAW OFFICES GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA, [1459627 v7.doc]

LAW OFFICES
GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA,
PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100
POST OFFICE BOX 1157
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

ŀ	0
1	9

17

21 22

23

24

25 26

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC - 2 of 5 (Case No. CV04-0025-FVS) [1459627 v7.doc]

Plaintiffs, pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 23, hereby respectfully move the Court for entry of:

- Order Bifurcating and Staying Claims Against Defendant Roth Capital Partners, LLC;
- Order Preliminary Approving Settlement Between The Class and Defendant Roth Capital Partners, LLC.

This Motion is supported by the attached Memorandum, Declaration and exhibits, and by all other pleadings and filings in this litigation.

Dated this 31st day of January, 2010.

GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA, PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP

By s/Bradley B. Jones Bradley B. Jones, WSBA No. 17197 Kenneth G. Kieffer, WSBA No. 10850 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

By s/Tyler S. Weaver Tyler S. Weaver, WSBA No. 29413 Jeniphr A.E. Breckenridge, WSBA 21410 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

> 23 24

25

26

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC - 3 of 5 (Case No. CV04-0025-FVS)

[1459627 v7.doc]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 31, 2010, I electronically filed the
foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system.
The Court or the CM/ECF system will send notification of such filings to the
CM/ECF participants listed below, and I will mail the same via U.S. Postal
Service to the non-CM/ECF participant(s).

Alison Killen Blair at ablair@orrick.com

Andrew K Polovin at andrew.polovin@bartlit-beck.com; anne.dovle@bartlit-beck.com

Brian Charles Frontino at bfrontino@stroock.com; lacalendar@stroock.com Brian D Buckley at bbuckley@fenwick.com; doconnor@fenwick.com;

kroth@fenwick.com

Carl J Oreskovich at carl@ettermcmahon.com; roni@ettermcmahon.com

Charles S Wright at charleswright@dwt.com

Christopher Lind at christopher Lind at christopher-Lind at <a href="mailto:christopher-

Christopher D Landgraff at chris.landgraff@barlit-beck.com

Christopher G Emch at emchc@foster.com; pateb@foster.com

Daniel F Shea at dfshea@hhlaw.com

Darrell W Scott at scottgroup@mac.com; ssimatos@mac.com

David D Hoff at dhoff@tousley.com; btaylor@tousley.com

Diana Lynn Weiss at dweiss@orrick.com

E Joseph Giometti at jgiometti@orrick.com; jcopoulos@orrick.com; pbenetz@orrick.com

Earl M Sutherland at esutherland@rmlaw.com; jlading@rmlaw.com

Elizabeth J Cabraser at ecabraser@lchb.com

Erin K Flory at erin@hbsslaw.com; jon@hbsslaw.com

Fabrice Vincent at fvincent@lchb.com; dclevenger@lchb.com

Gary I Grenley at ggrenley@grebb.com

George S Azadian at gazadian@stroock.com; cdusi@stroock.com

J Scott McBride at scott.mcbride@barlit-beck.com

James Bernard King at jking@ecl-law.com; kschulman@ecl-law.com

James K Barbee at jim@golbeckroth.com

James M Shaker at shaker@ryanlaw.com; callahan@ryanlaw.com

James P Cusick at jcusick@orrick.com

GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA, PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100 POST OFFICE BOX 1157 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157 (253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

1	James P McNeill, III at <u>mcnej@foster.com</u>
2	Jeffrey S Miller at milje@foster.com; kellie@foster.com; snydd@foster.com;
	hickc@foster.com
3	John Degnan Munding at <u>munding@crumb-munding.com;</u>
ā	<u>brittany@crumb-munding.com</u>
4	John M. Hughes at john.hughes@bartlit-beck.com
5	Julia B Strickland at <u>jstrickland@stroock.com;</u> tmitchell@stroock.com;
	<u>lacalendar@stroock.com</u>
6	Kelly P Corr at kcorr@corrcronin.com ;
7	reception@corrcronin.com
	Kenneth P Herzinger at <u>kherzinger@orrick.com</u>
8	Kevin Daniel O'Rourke at <u>kevin@southwellorourke.com</u>
9	Kim D Stephens at kstephens@tousley.com ; bkinsey@tousley.com
	Leslie R Weatherhead at lwlibertas@aol.com ; emilyr@wkdtlaw.com ;
10	janetj@wkdtlaw.com
11	Lester C Houtz at lester.houtz@bartlit-beck.com ; anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com ;
	Mark Roth at <u>mark@golbeckroth.com</u>
12	Mary D Manesis at <u>mmanesis@stroock.com</u> ; <u>cdusi@stroock.com</u>
13	Meredith Moss at mmoss@orrick.com
	Paul H Trinchero at <u>ptrinchero@grebb.com</u>
14	Peter Jennings Grabicki at <u>pig@randanco.com</u> ; <u>scc@randanco.com</u> ;
15	<u>nlg@randanco.com</u>
	Philip S Beck at <u>anne.doyle@bartlit-beck.com;</u>
16	susan.dandrea@barlit-beck.com
17	Richard M Heimann at <u>rheimann@lchb.com</u> ; <u>lsimms@lchb.com</u>
	Robert J Nelson at <u>rnelson@lchb.com</u>
18	Ronald L Berenstain at <u>rberenstain@perkinscoie.com</u> ;
19	jstarr@perkinscoie.com
	Stellman Keehnel at <u>stellman.keehnel@dlapiper.com</u> ;
20	nina.marie@dlapiper.com
21	Stephen M Rummage at <u>steverummage@dwt.com</u> ; <u>jeannecadley@dwt.com</u>
.	Steve W Berman at steve@hbsslaw.com; carrie@hbsslaw.com;
22	heatherw@hbsslaw.com
23	Steven Fogg at sfogg@corrcronin.com; hpowell@corrcronin.com
	Timothy L Filer at filet@foster.com; howej@foster.com
24	Tyler S Weaver at tyler@hbsslaw.com; bonneym@hbsslaw.com;
25	<u>jeniphr@hbsslaw.com</u>
26	
20	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT
	WITH ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC - 4 of 5

(Case No. CV04-0025-FVS)

[1459627 v7.doc]

LAW OFFICES
GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA,
PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP
1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100
POST OFFICE BOX 1157
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157
(253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

253-620-6565

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

N	oti	ce	has	been	delive	ered	by	other	means	to:
---	-----	----	-----	------	--------	------	----	-------	-------	-----

Legal Assistant to:
F. Mike Shaffer, WSBA No. 18669
Bradley B. Jones, WSBA No. 17197
Kenneth G. Kieffer, WSBA No. 10850
GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP
1201 Pacific Avenue Suite 2100
Tacoma WA 98402
253-620-6500

osler d Houses

Tyler S. Weaver, WSBA No. 29413 Jeniphr Breckenridge, WSBA No. 21410 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101-2609

Co-Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiffs

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT WITH ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC - 5 of 5 (Case No. CV04-0025-FVS)
[1459627 v7.doc]

GORDON, THOMAS, HONEYWELL, MALANCA, PETERSON & DAHEIM LLP 1201 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 2100 POST OFFICE BOX 1157 TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98401-1157 (253) 620-6500 - FACSIMILE (253) 620-6565

PROPOSED ORDERS

1		THE HONORABLE FRED VAN SICKLE					
2	F. MIKE SHAFFER, WSBA No. 18669						
3	BRADLEY B. JONÉS, WSBA No. 1719						
4	KENNETH G. KIEFFER, WSBA No. 10 JOHN C. GUADNOLA, WSBA No. 086	850 36					
5	GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LL 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100	.P					
6	Tacoma, WA 98402-4314						
7	253-620-6500 253-620-6565						
8	STEVE W REDMAN WSDA No. 1252	6					
9	STEVE W. BERMAN, WSBA No. 12536 TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413						
10	JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No ERIN K. FLORY, WSBA No. 16631	o. 21410					
	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101-2609						
11							
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
14							
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
16	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON						
17							
18	In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES LITIGATION) No. CV-04-025-FVS					
19		CLASS ACTION					
20) [PROPOSED] ORDER					
21	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS) BIFURCATING AND STAYING) CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT					
		NOTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC					
22))					
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	ORDER BIFURCATING AND STAYING CLAIMS AG DEFENDANT ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLP (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS) LA 51229938	AINST					

Having considered the Motion and Memorandum of Plaintiffs, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(b), for an Order bifurcating and staying all claims against Defendant Roth Capital Partners, LLC ("Roth"),

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all parties to the Action, including all Class Members, Roth and the non-settling defendants, and subject matter jurisdiction over the Action.
- 3. In light of the Settlement between the Class and Roth pursuant to the Settlement Agreement dated January 29, 2010 and the trial scheduled to commence in the Action against non-settling defendants on March 15, 2010, and in order to allow the Settling Parties to implement and seek final approval of the Settlement, it will further the interests of convenience, avoiding prejudice to the parties and expediting and economizing to bifurcate any and all claims that have been made, could be made or may be made in this Action against Roth pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(b).
- 4. All claims, demands and causes of action, whether known or unknown, that have been asserted, could have been asserted or might be asserted by the Lead Plaintiffs, the Class, any non-settling defendant or any other person or entity in this Action or any other proceeding or forum, which are based upon or relate to the matters alleged in the Consolidated And Fourth Amended Class Action Complaint are hereby: (i) bifurcated from the Action and shall instead be maintained in a separate proceeding before this Court (the "Roth Action"); and (ii) stayed pending determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth Action with

-1-

11

22 23

24

25

26

19

20

21

27 28 prejudice as to Roth, including a provision barring any claims against the Roth Released Parties, should be entered.

5. In the event the Action proceeds to trial as to a non-settling defendant(s): (i) the Class may not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, for any purpose whatsoever against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (ii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not be admissible for any purpose whatsoever as against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iv) Roth shall not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment for any purpose whatsoever against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum; (v) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not be admissible for any purpose against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class; and (vi) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class. If the Settlement is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason, the Class shall not be estopped from asserting the liability of Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or entered into, and Roth shall not be estopped from challenging liability in the Action, the Roth

1	Action or any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or
2	entered into.
3	6. The Settling Parties shall have the right to move for an order by this
4	Court modifying the provisions of, or terminating, this Order.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED this day of, 2010.
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	Presented by:
9	GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP
10	
11	By: Bradley B. Jones
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class
13	HACENIC DEDMANI CODOL CHADIDO LLD
14	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
15	By:
16	Tyler S. Weaver Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 3 -
	ORDER BIFURCATING CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLP

1		THE HONORABLE FRED VAN SICKLE				
2	F. MIKE SHAFFER, WSBA No. 18669					
3	BRADLEY B. JONES, WSBA No. 17197	7				
4	KENNETH G. KIEFFÉR, WSBA No. 103 JOHN C. GUADNOLA, WSBA No. 0863	36				
5	GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LL 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100	P				
6	Tacoma, WA 98402-4314					
7	253-620-6500 253-620-6565					
8	STEVE W. BERMAN, WSBA No. 12536	ó				
9	TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413 JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No. 21410					
10	ERIN K. FLORY, WSBA No. 16631					
11	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900					
12	Seattle, WA 98101-2609					
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
16	EASTERN DISTRIC	T OF WASHINGTON				
17	In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES) No. CV-04-025-FVS				
18	LITIGATION)) CLASS ACTION				
19		ý)				
20	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS) [PROPOSED] ORDER) PRELIMINARILY APPROVING				
21	ALL ACTIONS	SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE CLASS AND DEFENDANT ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC				
22) CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC				
23)				
24						
25 26						
26 27						
28						
۵۵						
	ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMEN (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS) LA 51229941	т				

8

9

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Having considered the Motion and Memorandum of Plaintiffs, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, for an Order preliminarily approving the proposed settlement (the "Settlement") between the Class and defendant Roth Capital Partners, LLC ("Roth") in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement dated January 29, 2010,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement 1. Agreement and the Judgment.
- 2. The Court has personal jurisdiction over all parties to the Action and the Roth Action, including all Class Members and Roth, and subject matter jurisdiction over the Action and the Roth Action.
- 3. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree in writing to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- 4. In the interest of conserving expenses to the Class, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Court shall defer approval of the form and manner of providing notice of the Settlement to the Class, and scheduling a hearing for final approval of the Settlement and Settlement Agreement (the "Final Approval Hearing").
- 5. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement, as embodied in the Settlement Agreement, as being fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Class Members, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 6. This Order shall become null and void, with the exception of the Bifurcation Order, and be without prejudice to the rights of the Settling Parties, all of whom shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective statuses in the Action and the Roth Action as of January 29, 2010, if: (i) the Effective Date does not occur; or (ii) the proposed Settlement is terminated or does not become effective for any other reason. In such event, the Settlement Agreement shall become null and void and

have no further force and effect, and the Settlement shall be without prejudice and none of its terms shall be effective or enforceable.

- 7. The Action is stayed as to Roth in all respects until further order of the Court, except as may be necessary to implement and effectuate the Settlement and Settlement Agreement.
- 8. Pending determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth Action with prejudice as to Roth and whether an order barring claims against the Roth Released Parties should be entered, no Lead Plaintiff nor any Class Member, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, shall assert, commence or prosecute against any of the Roth Released Parties any of the Roth Released Claims in this Action or the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum. This injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and the Court's flexibility and authority to effectuate the Settlement and to enter judgment when appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1651(a).
- 9. Pending determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth Action with prejudice as to Roth and whether an order barring claims against the Roth Released Parties should be entered, no present or future defendant in the Action or in the Roth Action, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, shall assert, commence or prosecute any claim against any of the Roth Released Parties, however styled, whether legal or equitable, known or unknown, whether arising under state law or federal non-securities law, whether for indemnification or contribution or otherwise denominated (including without limitation claims for breach of contract or misrepresentation), where the claim is based on, arises out of or

24

25

26

27

28

relates to claims or allegations asserted in the Action or the Roth Action. This injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and the Court's flexibility and authority to effectuate this Settlement and to enter judgment when appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1651(a).

In the event the Action proceeds to trial as to a non-settling 10. defendant(s): (i) the Class may not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, for any purpose whatsoever against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (ii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not be admissible for any purpose whatsoever as against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iv) Roth shall not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment for any purpose whatsoever against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum; (v) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not be admissible for any purpose against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class; and (vi) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class. If the Settlement is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason, the Class shall not be estopped from asserting the liability of Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or

Case 2:04-cv-00025-FVS Document 950 Tyler S. Weaver Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)